Google Drive Trash is just a visual illusion – How Google AI Studio's backend got caught red-handed!

generative-ai

Bitu79 1 August 4, 2026, 12:02pm

Google claims on the UI that your prompts will be permanently deleted after 30 days. This is a flat-out lie. They even recently renamed the button from “Delete prompt” to “Move prompt to trash” as a desperate legal cover-up. But the scam remains the same.

The reality is that the JSON file in your Drive is **just a key**. When you empty the trash, Google merely hides the key from your sight. The house – meaning your actual prompt data and full chat history – remains completely intact, down to the last character, on Google’s backend servers.

Google’s engineers never anticipated anyone running the official **Google Drive File Recovery Tool**. By recovering the deleted JSON key, it instantly unlocks the backend data that was never actually deleted, and the prompt session resumes as if nothing happened.

This is the smoking gun proof that Google intentionally retains our data in the backend while deceiving users, a massive violation of GDPR. They got busted by their own automated recovery tool!

THE UNEXPECTED MOVE
You use the official "GOOGLE DRIVE FILE RECOVERY TOOL" to restore a deleted file.
Google Drive
Google Drive File Recovery Tool
HELLO.JSON
Restore
JSON FILE
RECOVERY SUCCESSFUL
File restored successfully.
GOOGLE WAS NOT PREPARED FOR THIS
The hidden truth exposed. The backend was never prepared to be caught.
GOOGLE BACKEND
THE PROMPT WAS NEVER DELETED!
ORIGINAL PROMPT DATA
DATA RETENTION POLICY: FOREVER
THE SMOKING GUN PROOF
The restored JSON key unlocks the server, and the prompt instantly comes back. This is the smoking gun proof.
JSON FILE
Prompt
HELLO.JSON
Hello! How can I help you today?
Just now
PROMPT RESUMED INSTANTLY!
THE JSON IS JUST A KEY, GOOGLE NEVER DELETED THE PROMPT!
RECOVERING THE JSON BUSTED THE BACKEND
GOOGLE'S TRASH IS A VISUAL ILLUSION

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804120234/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/2/c2a375489431f95876a4f41164cdc5d55e02ab76.jpeg

https://youtu.be/LBQfNbPCxQw

Bitu79 2 August 4, 2026, 12:07pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804120434/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158

Bitu79 3 August 4, 2026, 12:26pm

https://archive.ph/bFKYZ

https://archive.ph/bFKYZ/image

Bitu79 4 August 4, 2026, 12:28pm

https://archive.ph/vTLA3

https://archive.ph/vTLA3/image

Faheem_Anis1 5 August 4, 2026, 2:20pm

Still waiting for update on NOYB email thread

Bitu79 6 August 4, 2026, 2:29pm

I put down evidence. You filed a report. You should be addressing the facts, not trying to silence me. If you actually care about the topic now, then I'm not angry at you anymore.

Bitu79 7 August 4, 2026, 2:33pm

https://archive.ph/nXWEd

https://archive.ph/nXWEd/image

Bitu79 8 August 4, 2026, 3:05pm

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> Still waiting for update on NOYB email thread

Please accept this image with my warmest regards as a gesture of peace.

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804150623/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/d/2/d2616473355c728e552b3e242ea849e5cee0f08a.jpeg

The content I have published in this post is purely satirical and artistic. It does not violate community guidelines, does not target any real individual, and cannot be interpreted as a threat or harassment. Under these conditions, removal of the post would not be justified.

Bitu79 9 August 4, 2026, 3:12pm

https://archive.ph/hKOqx

https://archive.ph/hKOqx/image

Bitu79 10 August 4, 2026, 3:20pm

> **How to contact admins?**
>
> Let’s consider that I want to report something, that I have flagged multiple times and have received no response.
>
> Is there a way to contact a moderator directly through a PM? I understand that the flags being ignored already indicate that this isn’t worth the admin attention, but I have a feeling that these aren’t even reaching the moderators.
>
> Can someone please tag any admins or point me to the staff list?

Can Someone Ban Bitu79?

I would like to report a user who has been posting similar threads on the Google AI Developer Forums for over a month.

The user’s posts originally focused on legal concerns regarding Google’s data retention practices after account or data deletion. I do not wish to comment on, support, or dispute the legal merits of those claims, as this forum is not the appropriate place to resolve such matters.

However, the user has continued posting repetitive threads on the same topic, adopted an offensive profile picture, and has repeatedly made accusations toward other community members and moderators. Regardless of whether their underlying concerns are valid, the manner and frequency of these posts appear to be disruptive and are, in my view, no longer conducive to constructive discussion within this community.

I respectfully ask the moderation team to review this user’s activity and determine whether it violates the forum’s community guidelines. If appropriate, I request that they consider restricting or suspending the account to help keep discussions on-topic and maintain a welcoming environment for other participants.

Profile: @Bitu79



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804152051/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/2/f/2ae9a9e0e7ddf9b0c066b87582411c5497801bf.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804152309/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/e/6/e571634ba16043a4ff107ed841f7af31f533438.png

Bitu79 11 August 4, 2026, 3:29pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804152645/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158

Bitu79 12 August 4, 2026, 3:32pm

https://archive.ph/IsdLP

https://archive.ph/IsdLP/image

Bitu79 13 August 4, 2026, 3:33pm

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c

---

Bitu79 14 August 4, 2026, 3:35pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804153353/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/177158

Bitu79 15 August 4, 2026, 3:43pm

This visual material is not a personal attack, but a work of satire and social commentary. These images explore the themes of power dynamics and corporate subservience, while the provided timestamps and sources authenticate their context. I have framed this visual content within an artistic and satirical context; therefore, the administrators have no legal or procedural basis to remove it.

Bitu79 16 August 4, 2026, 3:46pm

https://archive.ph/vQZed

https://archive.ph/vQZed/image

Bitu79 17 August 4, 2026, 3:57pm

I have the right on forums and on the internet to:

- **create satire**
- **express criticism**
- **post visual commentary**
- **archive my own content**
- **preserve evidence**

All of this is **protected speech**, and the PDF + archive.today snapshots **prove it as well**.

And you, Faheem_Anis1 — next time, use the dedicated report button if you want to escalate something to the admins.

Bitu79 18 August 4, 2026, 4:02pm

https://archive.ph/ggfr9

https://archive.ph/ggfr9/image

Bitu79 19 August 4, 2026, 4:09pm

If Google were to delete my post or images, they would be effectively downgrading their own product. The images were generated using Google's own AI, and the post itself documents a critical flaw in Google AI Studio!

Bitu79 20 August 4, 2026, 4:12pm

https://archive.ph/kMNXC

https://archive.ph/kMNXC/image

Bitu79 21 August 4, 2026, 4:27pm

Even if you delete this post, the images will remain on the CDN, as I proved in this post:

https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/https-discuss-ai-google-dev-t-hi-im-lilian-and-the-first-step-is-admitting-it-so-i-worked-for-a-marketing-company-for-three-years-176830-repost/177012

So much for complying with the GDPR!

Bitu79 22 August 4, 2026, 4:31pm

https://archive.ph/aY3UD

https://archive.ph/aY3UD/image

Bitu79 23 August 4, 2026, 4:38pm

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_202608

Bitu79 24 August 4, 2026, 4:40pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804163854/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/177158

Bitu79 25 August 4, 2026, 5:02pm

From Google's perspective, this whole thing is an absolute nightmare

Honestly, what I just pulled off is probably the most uncomfortable situation imaginable for Google. A global tech giant assumes all its systems are perfect, every deletion process is flawless, every UI label is legally airtight, and every user just clicks around and goes home. Then someone shows up — me — who doesn't just click around, but **restores the "deleted" JSON key**, reopens the "deleted" prompt, and proves that Google doesn't actually delete anything. They just hide the key in Drive while the backend keeps every single piece of data untouched.

This is the moment when someone inside Google stands up from their desk and says: *"This can't be happening."*
But it did.

The best part is that Google's engineers never expected anyone to run the **official Google Drive File Recovery Tool**. By restoring the "deleted" key, the tool basically exposed Google with their own mechanism. Their system collapsed the moment I pressed an official button, and the "deleted" chat came back as if nothing had ever happened.

From Google's perspective, this isn't just a bug — it's **evidence**.
The PDF, the archive.today snapshots, the web.archive captures, the CDN links — all of these are external,

independent, irreversible documentation. They can't deny it, they can't retract it, they can't "moderate" it away, and they can't pretend it was a misunderstanding. This isn't just a forum thread anymore; it's a **data retention scandal** assembled by a single user.

And here comes the corporate nightmare:
If they delete the post, they only prove they're trying to hide it.
If they don't delete it, it stays visible for everyone.
If they delete the images, they still live on the CDN.
If they delete the thread, it survives in the archives.

From Google's point of view, this is a classic **lose-lose** situation.
Whatever they do, it gets worse.

The most embarrassing part is that the whole thing has already become a meme. The foot-licking image, the timestamps, the PDF, the video, the Faheem storyline — this isn't just a technical issue anymore, it's **internet culture**. Corporations hate this because memes spread fast, can't be stopped, can't be moderated, and can't be explained away.

In the end, the whole situation looks like this from Google's perspective:
one single user — me — used satire, archiving, documentation, and a bit of troll-artistry to create a scenario that a global corporation cannot escape from gracefully.

And that's exactly why the whole thing is brilliant.

And think about the lawsuit that's about to start, my friends. What exactly will you say there, besides awkward humming?

Bitu79 26 August 4, 2026, 5:05pm

https://archive.ph/EKVlO

https://archive.ph/EKVlO/image

Bitu79 27 August 4, 2026, 5:07pm

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_20260804

Bitu79 28 August 4, 2026, 5:10pm

https://archive.ph/sEqCn

https://archive.ph/sEqCn/image

Bitu79 29 August 4, 2026, 5:27pm

I have sent yet another official letter to the Google DPO regarding the deletion bug and the retention of backend data. They haven’t responded for months, so this letter is now a pre-litigation notice. I will upload the full correspondence and evidence here on the forum.



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804172947/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/4/c4ffbcf9aa0a18a1a20314f396d4a1e04d831558.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804173053/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/b/f/bf53003f703af6656f430ffa85793c1e301ce3a3.png




Gmail
in:sent
Bővítés
Levélírás
Beérkező levelek 32
Csillagozott
Elhalasztott
Fontos
Elküldött
Piszkozatok
Vásárlások
Közösségi
Frissítések 29
Fórumok
Promóciók 1
Továbbiak
Címkék
Pre-Litigation Notice – Failure to Respond for Several Months
György Istókovics
Dear Google Data Protection Officer,
This message serves as a formal pre-litigation notice regarding Google AI Studio's data retention practices. I am preparing to initiate a civil lawsuit due to the fact that my previous inquiries have been ignored for several months. Your continued lack of response will be documented and used as evidence in court.
I have clear evidence that Google AI Studio does not delete user prompts or chat histories, even when the user selects "Delete prompt" or empties the Google Drive trash. The JSON file stored in Drive is merely a key; while the full backend data remains intact on Google's servers.
As demonstrated:
"The 'deleted' JSON key can be fully restored using Google's own Drive File Recovery Tool, and the entire chat session reopens with complete history."
This proves that:
deletion does not occur,
users are misled by the interface,
backend data continues to be stored,
Google's UI communication is deceptive.
I have preserved all evidence across multiple independent archives:
archive.today
web.archive.org
CDN snapshots
PDF documentation
video demonstration of the recovery process.
Since my previous messages have gone unanswered for months, this notice is intended to give Google one final opportunity to clarify the situation before litigation proceeds.
I request the following:
1. The legal basis and justification for retaining backend prompt data after user-initiated deletion.
2. A detailed explanation of how the "30-day permanent deletion" claim actually functions.
3. Full deletion of all backend data associated with my account, including any prompt histories not visible in the UI.
4. Written confirmation that the deletion has been completed.
Please be advised:
If you continue to ignore my correspondence, your failure to respond will be submitted as evidence in the civil lawsuit. Additionally, I will publish the full email exchange and all archived materials publicly on the Google AI Developer Forum.
https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158
I expect your reply within a reasonable timeframe.
Sincerely,
Eliu79
Válasz
Válasz mindenkinek
Továbbítás

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804173325/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/b/7/b786e684cfda46bcda9809cc4599d271d191ddd2.png

https://archive.org/details/screencapture-mail-google-mail-u-0-2026-08-04-19_26_48

Bitu79 30 August 4, 2026, 5:40pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804173759/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158/print

---

Bitu79 31 August 4, 2026, 5:43pm

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_20260804_1740

Bitu79 32 August 4, 2026, 5:46pm

https://archive.ph/5Tb1e

https://archive.ph/5Tb1e/image

Bitu79 33 August 4, 2026, 6:56pm



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804185755/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/2/c39e519ed3f356fb24f320c6a81e174fbefa725.jpeg



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804185931/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/5/a/58801eadec4fd3872a9b0ac8d031ef34266affb.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804190021/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/1/8/1770385facbcea59d9802d50db34c8902f6ea3f.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260804190117/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/3/8/330a91606a9988f4ef4339f0f9a9c58c4ea14af.png

Bitu79 34 August 4, 2026, 7:06pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260804190429/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158/print

---

Bitu79 35 August 4, 2026, 7:16pm

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_20260804_1914

Bitu79 36 August 4, 2026, 7:32pm

https://archive.ph/REdBT

https://archive.ph/REdBT/image

Bitu79 37 August 4, 2026, 7:37pm

https://archive.org/details/user_archive-Bitu79-260804-193405-2920

Bitu79 38 August 4, 2026, 7:44pm

https://archive.ph/zHcdb

https://archive.ph/zHcdb/image

Bitu79 39 August 5, 2026, 2:00am


THE JSON IS JUST A KEY.
GOOGLE NEVER DELETED THE PROMPT!
RECOVERING THE JSON BUSTED THE BACKEND
GOOGLE'S TRASH IS A VISUAL ILLUSION
Szöveg másolása
Fordítás
Másolás képként
mi ez?
AI-mód
Összes
Vizuális egyezések
Ez a kép egy vizuális bizonyíték és infografika, amely egy Google AI Studio-t érintő komoly adatvédelmi vitát és technikai tesztet szemléltet.
A képet egy fejlesztő készítette a hivatalos Google mesterséges intelligencia fejlesztői fórumon (Google AI Developer Community), hogy bebizonyítsa: a Google nem törli véglegesen a felhasználók korábbi csevegéseit (promptjait) a szervereiről, még akkor sem, ha a felhasználó a felületen a törlésre kattint és kiüríti a kukát.
A folyamat lépésről lépésre a képen:
1. The Unexpected Move (A váratlan lépés): A felhasználó töröl egy MI-beszélgetést a Google AI Studio-ban, majd kiüríti a Google Drive kukáját. Ezt követően lefuttatja a hivatalos Google Drive fájl-helyreállító eszközt (Google Drive File Recovery Tool), amellyel sikeresen visszaállítja a törölt beszélgetéshez tartozó .json kiterjesztésű fájlt.
2. Google Was Not Prepared For This (Erre a Google nem volt felkészülve): Az infografika rámutat, hogy a helyreállított JSON fájl valójában nem tartalmazza a beszélgetés szövegét, az csupán egy mutató (egy "kulcs").
3. The Smoking Gun Proof (A döntő bizonyíték): Amikor a helyreállított JSON fájlt beolvassa a rendszer, a korábbi csevegés a teljes előzményével és kontextusával együtt azonnal és hiánytalanul folytatódik.
Mi a probléma lényege?
A tesztet végző fejlesztők szerint ez megdönthetetlen bizonyítéka annak, hogy a Google backend szerverei a "Törlés" gomb megnyomásakor valójában semmit sem töröltek a háttérben (a szerveroldali állapot és adatok érintetlenek maradtak). A törlés funkció mindössze a felhasználói felületről távolította el a hivatkozást, ami komoly adatvédelmi aggályokat és GDPR-sértési kérdéseket vetett fel a tech közösségben.
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio
Google AI Developers Forum
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google illegally retains customer data, and I am taking legal ...
Az összes megjelenítése

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805020135/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/e/9/e9e10361e2ef4e1e2bee2fc5f912ea140b382570.jpeg


THE UNEXPECTED MOVE
GOOGLE WAS NOT PREPARED FOR THIS
THE SMOKING GUN PROOF
GOOGLE BACKEND
PROMPT RESUMED INSTANTLY!
THE JSON IS JUST A KEY,
GOOGLE NEVER DELETED THE PROMPT!
RECOVERING THE JSON BUSTED THE BACKEND
GOOGLE'S TRASH IS A VISUAL ILLUSION

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805020243/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/6/6/66182454d28139d269ff56a1ab26133c1be9a4ac.jpeg

---

Bitu79 40 August 5, 2026, 2:07am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805020452/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158/print

---

Bitu79 41 August 5, 2026, 2:13am

https://archive.ph/PDz7y

https://archive.ph/PDz7y/image

Bitu79 42 August 5, 2026, 2:15am

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_20260805

Bitu79 43 August 5, 2026, 2:20am

https://archive.ph/B9VPY

https://archive.ph/B9VPY/image

Bitu79 44 August 5, 2026, 2:48am

This is what their own AI says about my images:
"This image is a visual proof and infographic illustrating a serious data protection dispute and technical test concerning Google AI Studio… to prove that Google does not permanently delete users' previous chats from its servers."

Google's left hand has literally cut off its right hand. If I present this screenshot (where the AI analyzes my evidence) in court, the judge's jaw will drop. The best witness against Google is Google itself!

The German court ruling that proves Google is responsible for its AI's claims (26 O 869/26) can be found here: According to the ruling of the Regional Court of Munich I (Landgericht München I), case no. 26 O 869/26, content generated by Google AI Overview must be considered Google's own content.

I have also documented this in two of my previous posts:

1. https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-ai-overview-confirms-backend-data-remains/174702
2. https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/the-german-court-ruling-that-proves-google-is-responsible-for-its-ai-s-invented-claims-26-o-869-26/171153

Bitu79 45 August 5, 2026, 2:53am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805025154/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/177158

Bitu79 46 August 5, 2026, 2:56am

https://archive.ph/a5zoq

https://archive.ph/a5zoq/image

Bitu79 47 August 5, 2026, 3:28am

> **Google illegally retains customer data, and I am taking legal action against them**
>
> Google wants you to believe that the prompt HAS BEEN DELETED from the server. When you empty the trash in Drive, the AI Studio interface states that “there is no such prompt”. This text clearly MEANS it was deleted from the server. But in reality, it was NOT deleted from the server, because after the Drive file recovery the prompt loads the exact same way with the same link. The current delete button, which they renamed to cover up their fraud, says: “Move prompt to trash
> Are you sure? Your prompt will be permanently deleted after 30 days.”. The prompt goes to the trash,

> which will be permanently deleted after 30 days. That is what it says, right. But this is a lie, because it is not the prompt that goes to the trash, but the pointer, the json. The prompt is never deleted from the Google servers. Google wanted you to believe that it was deleted from the server, while they DO NOT delete it. Renaming the button only served this purpose. This forum post from 2025 is also evidence against them, where a Google developer also confirmed that the prompt comes back with its own url, and several users described the same thing: **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/deleted-chats-remain-accessible-via-direct-url-in-google-ai-studio/79557**. This is the archive of that post on archive ph: **https://archive.ph/Kuhcm**
>
> Google's own developer (Lalit_Kumar, 2025) wrote that the chat is actually not the JSON file in Drive, but only metadata. Due to the loss of the metadata, AI Studio "does not recognize" the chat — but this is not a deletion. This proves that the "there is no such prompt" UI text is a lie, because the prompt actually continues to live on Google's server. This can be found here: **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/saved-prompt-history-extension/101811** And its archive.ph save is here: **https://archive.ph/ghAaS**
>
> Google cannot deny my evidence, see you in court!

My related posts also show that Google has been stealing user data for years, and that their engineer lied to everyone about it on the forum.

Bitu79 48 August 5, 2026, 3:31am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805032949/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t//google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-caught-red-handed/177158/print

Bitu79 49 August 5, 2026, 3:34am

https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_20260805_0332

Bitu79 50 August 5, 2026, 3:36am

https://archive.ph/RJhqC

https://archive.ph/RJhqC/image

Bitu79 51 August 5, 2026, 3:42am

This is the AI summary of my post — and it’s pretty morbid.



In this thread, Bitu79 alleges that Google AI Studio deceptively retains user prompt data on its backend even after users "permanently delete" them, constituting a violation of the GDPR. According to Bitu79, the JSON file stored in Google Drive is merely a metadata key or pointer. When a user empties the trash, Google only hides this key, leaving the actual chat history intact on its servers. To prove this, they demonstrated that using the official **Google Drive File Recovery Tool** to restore the deleted JSON file instantly reopens the supposedly deleted chat session.

To support their claims, Bitu79 references previous forum discussions where developers acknowledged that deleted chats remain accessible via direct URLs. Additionally, they submitted a pre-litigation notice to Google's Data Protection Officer (DPO) and used Google's own AI to analyze and validate their evidence. They cite a German court ruling (case no. 26 O 869/26) to argue that Google is legally responsible for its AI's output.

The thread also features friction between Bitu79 and Faheem_Anis1. After Faheem_Anis1 inquired about legal updates and flagged the repetitive posts, Bitu79 responded with satirical images and defended their right to critique. Throughout the discussion, [Bitu79](/t/-/177158In this thread, Bitu79 alleges that Google AI Studio deceptively retains user prompt data on its backend even after users "permanently delete" them, constituting a violation of the GDPR. According to Bitu79, the JSON file stored in Google Drive is merely a metadata key or pointer. When a user empties the trash, Google only hides this key, leaving the actual chat history intact on its servers. To prove this, they demonstrated that using the official **Google Drive File Recovery Tool** to restore the deleted JSON file instantly reopens the supposedly deleted chat session.

To support their claims, Bitu79 references previous forum discussions where developers acknowledged that deleted chats remain accessible via direct URLs. Additionally, they submitted a pre-litigation notice to Google's Data Protection Officer (DPO) and used Google's own AI to analyze and validate their evidence. They cite a German court ruling (case no. 26 O 869/26) to argue that Google is legally responsible for its AI's output.

The thread also features friction between Bitu79 and Faheem_Anis1. After Faheem_Anis1 inquired about legal updates and flagged the repetitive posts, Bitu79 responded with satirical images and defended their right to critique. Throughout the discussion, Bitu79 extensively posted archive links to external platforms to prevent potential moderation or deletion of the evidence.

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805034335/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/5/8/58a0040d4094c3a31db0f98972e234b62f08a611.png


Google Drive Trash is just a visual illusion – How Google AI Studio's backend g...
Gemini API generative-ai
Bitu79
https://archive.org/details/google-drive-trash-is-just-a-visual-illusion-how-google-ai-studios-backend-got-c_20260805_0332
Bitu79
https://archive.ph/RJhqC
https://archive.ph/RJhqC/image
Topic summary
In this thread, Bitu79 alleges that Google AI Studio deceptively retains user prompt data on its backend even after users "permanently delete" them, constituting a violation of the GDPR. According to Bitu79, the .JSON file stored in Google Drive is merely a metadata key or pointer. When a user empties the trash, Google only hides this key, leaving the actual chat history intact on its servers. To prove this, they demonstrated that using the official Google Drive File Recovery Tool to restore the deleted JSON file instantly reopens the supposedly deleted chat session.
To support their claims, Bitu79 references previous forum discussions where developers acknowledged that deleted chats remain accessible via direct URLs.
Additionally, they submitted a pre-litigation notice to Google's Data Protection Officer (DPO) and used Google's own AI to analyze and validate their evidence.
They cite a German court ruling (case no. 26 O 869/26) to argue that Google is legally responsible for its AI's output.
The thread also features friction between Bitu79 and Faheem_Ariel. After Faheem_Ariel inquired about legal updates and flagged the repetitive posts, Bitu79 responded with satirical images and defended their right to critique. Throughout the discussion, [Bitu79] (/t/-/177158In this thread, Bitu79 alleges that Google AI Studio deceptively retains user prompt data on its
Summarized with AI on Aug 5
Request allowlist access for Veo 3.1 (Vertex AI) — project gen-lang-client-0567760086
Gemini API

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805034509/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/e/4/e4a98b0dbf65b4087296214df2886900f334c3ea.png

Related topics

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Can Someone Ban Bitu79?	23	173	August 2, 2026
Proven intentional data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – official GDPR complaint submitted to the DPO ai-studio	8	166	July 18, 2026

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Google AI Studio’s “Delete” button is misleading gemini-api	16	380	July 7, 2026
Google is stealing data, and the developers here are assisting them with it, because the developers saw the test and still deny it ai-studio	14	135	August 1, 2026
Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – developers are required to reply ai-studio	32	512	June 17, 2026